

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/687,024                                 | 10/13/2000  | William C. Lindsey   | TITAN-55530             | 9157             |
| 7590 09/14/2004                            |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP          |             |                      | BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL     |                  |
| Howard Hughes Center<br>6060 Center Drive, |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Tenth Floor                                | ive,        |                      | 2631                    |                  |
| Los Angeles, CA 90045                      |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | 91/8               |
|--|---|---|--------------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                      |                    |
| Advisory Action  | 09/687,024  | LINDSEY ET AL.                                    |                    |
| ·  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                    |
|  | Kevin M. Burd   | 2631  |                    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add                                | ress               |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated amendment which   | ation. A proper repl                              | y to a             |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |                    |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST, REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The</li> </ul>  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH              | g date of the final reject<br>HE FINAL REJECTION. | ion.<br>See MPEP   |
| fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | f extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The app                           | ropriate extension |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o   | eriod set forth in f the appeal.                  |                    |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   | •   |                    |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);                                  |                    |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be  | elow);  |   |                    |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or si                             | mplifying the      |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceling  | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claim                              | is.                |
| NOTE:  |   |   |                    |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti  | ion(s):   |   |                    |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed                             | amendment          |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: the   | reconsideration has been consi<br>isues regarding claims 12-97 are s  | dered but does NO                                 | T place the        |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were                               | e newly            |
| <ul><li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(<br/>explanation of how the new or amended claims wo</li></ul>   | (s) a)∏ will not be entered or b)<br>uld be rejected is provided belo   | ⊠ will be entered a<br>w or appended.             | and an             |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |                    |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |                    |
| Claim(s) objected to:  | •   |   |                    |
| Claim(s) rejected: 12-97.  |   |   |                    |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |                    |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.                                      |                    |
| 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  |   |   |                    |
| 10. ☐ Other:   | Rum M. Mul<br>9/8/04  |   | :R                 |
|  |   |   |                    |